



CENTER FOR POLICY RESEARCH  
THE MAXWELL SCHOOL

WORKING PAPER SERIES

# Prediction in a Generalized Spatial Panel Data Model with Serial Correlation

Badi H. Baltagi and Long Liu

Paper No. 188  
February 2016

ISSN: 1525-3066

426 Eggers Hall  
Syracuse University  
Syracuse, NY 13244-1020  
(315) 443-3114/email: [ctrpol@syr.edu](mailto:ctrpol@syr.edu)

[http://www.maxwell.syr.edu/CPR\\_Working\\_Papers.aspx](http://www.maxwell.syr.edu/CPR_Working_Papers.aspx)

# CENTER FOR POLICY RESEARCH –Spring 2016

**Leonard M. Lopoo, Director**  
**Associate Professor of Public Administration and International Affairs (PAIA)**

---

## Associate Directors

Margaret Austin  
Associate Director  
Budget and Administration

John Yinger  
Trustee Professor of Economics and PAIA  
Associate Director, Metropolitan Studies Program

## SENIOR RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Badi H. Baltagi.....	Economics	Yingyi Ma .....	Sociology
Robert Bifulco .....	PAIA	Jerry Miner .....	Economics
Leonard Burman .....	PAIA	Cynthia Morrow .....	PAIA
Thomas Dennison .....	PAIA	Jan Ondrich.....	Economics
Alfonso Flores-Lagunes .....	Economics	John Palmer.....	PAIA
Sarah Hamersma .....	PAIA	David Popp .....	PAIA
William C. Horrace .....	Economics	Stuart Rosenthal .....	Economics
Yilin Hou .....	PAIA	Rebecca Schewe .....	Sociology
Hugo Jales.....	Economics	Amy Ellen Schwartz .....	PAIA/Economics
Duke Kao.....	Economics	Perry Singleton.....	Economics
Jeffrey Kubik.....	Economics	Michael Wasylenko .....	Economics
Yoonseok Lee .....	Economics	Peter Wilcoxon.....	PAIA
Amy Lutz.....	Sociology		

## GRADUATE ASSOCIATES

Emily Cardon.....	PAIA	Laura Rodriquez-Ortiz .....	PAIA
Brianna Carrier .....	PAIA	Fabio Rueda De Vivero .....	Economics
John T. Creedon.....	PAIA	Max Ruppensburg .....	PAIA
Carlos Diaz .....	Economics	Iuliia Shybalkina.....	PAIA
Alex Falevich .....	Economics	Kelly Stevens .....	PAIA
Wancong Fu .....	Economics	Mary Stottele.....	PAIA
Boqian Jiang .....	Economics	Tian Tang.....	PAIA
Yusun Kim .....	PAIA	Saied Toossi .....	PAIA
Ling Li .....	Economics	Rebecca Wang .....	Sociology
Michelle Lofton .....	PAIA	Nicole Watson.....	Social Science
Judson Murchie .....	PAIA	Katie Wood .....	PAIA
Brian Ohl.....	PAIA	Jinqi Ye .....	Economics
Jindong Pang .....	Economics	Pengju Zhang .....	PAIA
Malcolm Philogene .....	PAIA	Xirui Zhang .....	Economics
William Reed .....	PAIA		

## STAFF

Kelly Bogart.....	Administrative Specialist	Mary Santy.....	Administrative Assistant
Kathleen Nasto.....	Administrative Assistant	Katrina Wingle.....	Administrative Assistant
Candi Patterson.....	Computer Consultant		

## **Abstract**

This paper considers the generalized spatial panel data model with serial correlation proposed by Lee and Yu (2012) which encompasses a lot of the spatial panel data models considered in the literature, and derives the best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) for that model. This in turn provides valuable BLUP for several spatial panel models as special cases.

**JEL No.** C33

**Key Words:** Prediction; Panel Data; Fixed Effects; Random Effects; Serial Correlation; Spatial Error Correlation

Address correspondence to: Badi H. Baltagi, Center for Policy Research, 426 Eggers Hall, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244-1020; e-mail: [bbaltagi@maxwell.syr.edu](mailto:bbaltagi@maxwell.syr.edu)

Coauthor: Long Liu, Department of Economics, College of Business, University of Texas at San Antonio, One UTSA Circle, TX 78249-0633; e-mail: [long.liu@utsa.edu](mailto:long.liu@utsa.edu)

## **1 Introduction**

Panel data has been used in forecasting gasoline demand across OECD countries, see Baltagi and Griffin (1997); Residential electricity and natural-gas demand using a panel of American states, see Maddala, Trost, Li and Joutz (1997); World carbon dioxide emissions, see Schmalensee, Stoker and Judson (1998); Growth rates of OECD countries, see Hoogstrate, Palm and Pfann (2000); Cigarette sales using a panel of American states, see Baltagi and Li (2004); The impact of uncertainty on U.K. investment authorizations using a panel of U.K. industries, see Driver, Imai, Temple and Urga (2004); Sale of state lottery tickets using panel data on postal (ZIP) codes, see Frees and Miller (2004); Exchange rate determination using industrialized countries quarterly panel data, see Rapach and Wohar (2004); Migration to Germany from 18 source countries over the period 1967-2001, see Brucker and Siliverstovs (2006); Short-term forecasts of employment in a panel of 326 West German regional labor markets observed over the period 1987-2002, see Longhi and Nijkamp (2007); Annual growth rates of real gross regional product for a panel of Chinese regions, see Girardin and Kholodilin (2011), to mention a few. See Baltagi (2013) for a summary of selected empirical panel data forecasting applications.

Wansbeek and Kapteyn (1978), Lee and Griffiths (1979), and Taub (1979) were among the first contributions in econometrics to the problem of prediction in an error component panel data model. Baltagi and Li (1992) extended this prediction to the case of an error component panel model with serial correlation in the remainder disturbance term. While Baltagi and Li (2004, 2006) extended it to the case of spatial autocorrelation in the remainder disturbance term, and Baltagi, Bresson and Pirotte (2012) carried out an extensive Monte Carlo study comparing forecasts in a spatial panel data model. See Baltagi (2013) for a recent survey in the Handbook of Forecasting. This paper considers the generalized spatial panel data model with serial correlation proposed by Lee and Yu (2012) which encompasses a lot of the spatial panel data models considered in the literature, and derives the best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) for that model. This in turn provides valuable BLUP for several spatial panel models as special cases.

Section 2 gives a brief description of the Lee and Yu (2012) generalized spatial panel data regression model with serial correlation, while Section 3 derives the best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) for that model. The Lee and Yu (2012) model encompasses a lot of the spatial and panel regression models used in empirical economics. The BLUP for these special cases are shown to follow easily from our BLUP derivation for the generalized model.

## 2 The Model

Lee and Yu (2012) considered the following generalized spatial panel data regression model with serial correlation, spatial autocorrelation and random effects:

$$y_{it} = x'_{it}\beta + u_{it}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad t = 1, \dots, T, \quad (1)$$

where  $y_{it}$  is the observation on the  $i$ th region for the  $t$ th time period,  $x_{it}$  denotes the  $k \times 1$  vector of observations on the nonstochastic regressors and  $u_{it}$  is the regression disturbance. In vector form, the disturbance vector of Equation (1) is assumed to have random region effects, spatially autocorrelated residual disturbances and a first-order autoregressive remainder disturbance term:

$$u_t = u_1 + u_{2t}, \quad (2)$$

with

$$u_1 = \lambda_1 W_1 u_1 + (I_N + \delta_1 M_1) \mu \quad (3)$$

$$u_{2t} = \lambda_2 W_2 u_{2t} + (I_N + \delta_2 M_2) \nu_t \quad (4)$$

and

$$\nu_t = \rho\nu_{t-1} + e_t, \quad (5)$$

where  $u'_t = (u_{t1}, \dots, u_{tN})$  and  $\varepsilon_t, \nu_t$  and  $e_t$  are similarly defined.  $\eta' = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N)$  denote the vector of random region effects and  $\mu' = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N)$  are assumed to be  $IIN(0, \sigma_\mu^2)$ .  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are the scalar spatial autoregressive coefficients with  $|\lambda_1| < 1, |\lambda_2| < 1$ ,  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are the scalar spatial moving average coefficients with  $|\delta_1| < 1, |\delta_2| < 1$ , while  $\rho$  is the time-wise serial correlation coefficient satisfying  $|\rho| < 1$ . Following Baltagi, Bresson and Pirotte (2012), we define  $B_1 = I_N - \lambda_1 W_1$ ,  $B_2 = I_N - \lambda_2 W_2$ ,  $D_1 = I_N + \delta_1 M_1$  and  $D_2 = I_N + \delta_2 M_2$ . Equations (3) and (4) can be rewritten as:

$$u_1 = A_1^{-1} \mu, \quad (6)$$

$$u_{2t} = A_2^{-1} \nu_t, \quad (7)$$

where  $A_1 = D_1^{-1} B_1$  and  $A_2 = D_2^{-1} B_2$ .

Following Lee and Yu (2012), we employ the following assumptions:

**Assumption 1**  $W_1, W_2, M_1$  and  $M_2$  are nonstochastic spatial weights matrices with zero diagonal elements.

**Assumption 2** The disturbances  $e_{it}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $t = 2, 3, \dots, T$ , are i.i.d. across  $i$  and  $t$  with zero mean, variance  $\sigma_e^2$ , and  $E|e_{it}|^{4+\kappa} < \infty$  for some  $\kappa > 0$ ; also, they are independent with  $\nu_t \sim (0, \sigma_e^2 / (1 - \rho^2) I_N)$ .

**Assumption 3**  $B_1, B_2, D_1$  and  $D_2$  are invertible for all  $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda_2, \delta_1 \in \Delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta_2$  and  $\rho \in \mathbb{P}$ , where  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$  are compact intervals and  $\mathbb{P}$  is a compact subset in  $(-1, 1)$ . Furthermore,  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1, \delta_2$  and  $\rho$  are, respectively, in the interiors of  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$  and  $\mathbb{P}$ .

**Assumption 4**  $W_1, W_2, M_1$  and  $M_2$  are uniformly bounded in both row and column sums in absolute value (for short, UB). Also,  $B_1^{-1}, B_2^{-1}, D_1^{-1}$  and  $D_2^{-1}$  are UB, uniformly in  $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda_2, \delta_1 \in \Delta_1$  and  $\delta_2 \in \Delta_2$ .

**Assumption 5**  $N$  is large, whereas  $T$  is finite.

**Assumption 6**  $\mu \sim (0, \sigma_\mu^2 I_N)$  is independent of  $(\sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \nu'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_T)'$ . Both of them are i.i.d. and independent of  $X$ .

As pointed out by Lee and Yu (2012), this model nests various spatial panel models in the literature including the following<sup>1</sup>:

---

<sup>1</sup>See Lee and Yu (2010, 2015) for nice surveys of spatial panel data models.

1. When  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ , the model reduces to the random effects spatial autoregressive RE-SAR model with serial correlation in the remainder disturbances considered by Baltagi, Song, Jung and Koh (2007).
2. When  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$  and  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ , the model reduces to the random effects panel data model with AR(1) remainder error term and no spatial correlation considered by Baltagi and Li (1992).
3. When  $\lambda_1 = 0$ ,  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$  and  $\rho = 0$ , the model reduces to the random effects spatial autoregressive RE-SAR model with no serial correlation considered by Anselin (1988).
4. When  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\delta_1 = 0$  and  $\rho = 0$ , the model reduces to the random effects spatial moving average RE-SMA model with no serial correlation described by Anselin, Le Gallo and Jayet (2008).
5. When  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ ,  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ ,  $\rho = 0$  and  $W_1 = W_2$ , the model reduces to the spatial autoregressive random effects SAR-RE model with no serial correlation considered by Kapoor, Kelejian and Prucha (2007).
6. When  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\delta_1 = \delta_2$ ,  $\rho = 0$  and  $M_1 = M_2$ , the model reduces to the spatial moving average random effects SMA-RE model with no serial correlation considered by Fingleton (2008).
7. When  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$  and  $\rho = 0$ , the model reduces to the generalized random effects spatial autoregressive model proposed by Baltagi, Egger and Pfaffermayr (2013).
8. When  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$  and  $\rho = 0$ , the model reduces to the familiar random effects (RE) panel data model with no spatial effects and no serial correlation.

The model in Equation (1) can be rewritten in matrix notation as

$$y = X\beta + u, \quad (8)$$

where  $y$  is of dimension  $NT \times 1$ ,  $X$  is  $NT \times k$ ,  $\beta$  is  $k \times 1$  and  $u$  is  $NT \times 1$ .  $X$  is assumed to be of full column rank and its elements are assumed to be bounded in absolute value. The disturbance term can be written in vector form as

$$u = (\iota_T \otimes A_1^{-1}) \mu + (I_T \otimes A_2^{-1}) \nu, \quad (9)$$

where  $v' = (v'_1, \dots, v'_T)$  and  $u$  is similarly defined.  $\iota_T$  is a vector of ones of dimension  $T$ .  $I_T$  is an identity matrix of dimension  $T$  and  $\otimes$  denotes the Kronecker product.

Under the random effects model, Lee and Yu (2012) showed that the variance-covariance matrix of  $u$  can be written as

$$\Omega = E(uu') = \sigma_\mu^2 J_T \otimes (A_1' A_1)^{-1} + \sigma_e^2 V \otimes (A_2' A_2)^{-1}, \quad (10)$$

where  $J_T$  is a matrix of ones of dimension  $T$ , and  $E(vv') = \sigma_e^2 V \otimes I_N$ , where  $V$  is the familiar AR(1) variance-covariance matrix of dimension  $T$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \cdots & \rho^{T-1} \\ \rho & 1 & \rho & \cdots & \rho^{T-2} \\ \rho^2 & \rho & 1 & \cdots & \rho^{T-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho^{T-1} & \rho^{T-2} & \rho^{T-3} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

One can easily verify that  $\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{C}$ , where

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -\rho & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\rho & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\rho & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

is the Prais-Winsten transformation matrix as in Baltagi and Li (1992). From Equation (9), the transformed spatial panel data regression disturbances are given by

$$u^* = (C \otimes I_N) u = (C \iota_T \otimes A_1^{-1}) \mu + (C \otimes A_2^{-1}) v = (1 - \rho) (\iota_T^\alpha \otimes A_1^{-1}) \mu + (C \otimes A_2^{-1}) v, \quad (13)$$

where  $C \iota_T = (1 - \rho) \iota_T^\alpha$ , where  $\iota_T^\alpha = (\alpha, \iota_{T-1}')$  and  $\alpha = \sqrt{(1 + \rho)/(1 - \rho)}$ . Therefore, the variance-covariance matrix of the Prais-Winsten-transformed spatial panel data model is given by

$$\Omega^* = E(u^* u^{*'}) = (1 - \rho)^2 \sigma_\mu^2 \iota_T^\alpha \iota_T^{\alpha'} \otimes (A_1' A_1)^{-1} + \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes (A_2' A_2)^{-1} \quad (14)$$

since  $(C \otimes A_2^{-1}) E(vv') (C \otimes A_2^{-1})' = \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes (A_2' A_2)^{-1}$ . Replace  $\iota_T^\alpha \iota_T^{\alpha'}$  by its idempotent counterpart  $d^2 \bar{J}_T^\alpha$ , where  $\bar{J}_T^\alpha = \iota_T^\alpha \iota_T^{\alpha'} / d^2$  and  $d^2 = \iota_T^{\alpha'} \iota_T^\alpha = \alpha^2 + T - 1$ . Replace  $I_T$  by  $E_T^\alpha + \bar{J}_T^\alpha$ , where  $E_T^\alpha = I_T - \bar{J}_T^\alpha$ , and collect like terms, see Baltagi and Li (1992), we get

$$\Omega^* = E(u^* u^{*'}) = \bar{J}_T^\alpha \otimes \left[ d^2 (1 - \rho)^2 \sigma_\mu^2 (A_1' A_1)^{-1} + \sigma_e^2 (A_2' A_2)^{-1} \right] + \sigma_e^2 E_T^\alpha \otimes (A_2' A_2)^{-1}. \quad (15)$$

Hence we have

$$\Omega^{*-1} = \bar{J}_T^\alpha \otimes Z + \sigma_e^{-2} E_T^\alpha \otimes A_2' A_2. \quad (16)$$

where  $Z = \left[ d^2 (1 - \rho)^2 \sigma_\mu^2 (A_1' A_1)^{-1} + \sigma_e^2 (A_2' A_2)^{-1} \right]^{-1}$ . Note that  $\Omega$  in Equation (10) is related to  $\Omega^*$  in Equation (14) by  $\Omega^* = (C \otimes I_N)' \Omega (C \otimes I_N)$ . Therefore,

$$\Omega^{-1} = (C \otimes I_N)' \Omega^{*-1} (C \otimes I_N) = (C \otimes I_N)' (\bar{J}_T^\alpha \otimes Z + \sigma_e^{-2} E_T^\alpha \otimes A_2' A_2) (C \otimes I_N). \quad (17)$$

One can easily verify that Equation (17) is equivalent to the inverse of the variance-covariance matrix given by Lee and Yu (2012)

$$\Omega^{-1} = \frac{1}{d^2 (1 - \rho)^2} (V^{-1} \iota_T \iota_T' V^{-1} \otimes Z) + \sigma_e^{-2} \left[ \left( V^{-1} - \frac{1}{d^2 (1 - \rho)^2} V^{-1} \iota_T \iota_T' V^{-1} \right) \otimes A_2' A_2 \right] \quad (18)$$

using

$$\frac{1}{d^2 (1 - \rho)^2} V^{-1} \iota_T \iota_T' V^{-1} = \frac{1}{d^2 (1 - \rho)^2} C' C \iota_T \iota_T' C' C = \frac{1}{d^2} C' \iota_T \iota_T' C = C' \bar{J}_T^\alpha C$$

and

$$V^{-1} - \frac{1}{d^2 (1 - \rho)^2} V^{-1} \iota_T \iota_T' V^{-1} = C' C - C' \bar{J}_T^\alpha C = C' E_T^\alpha C.$$

Note that  $|\Omega^*| = \left| d^2 (1 - \rho)^2 \sigma_\mu^2 (A_1' A_1)^{-1} + \sigma_e^2 (A_2' A_2)^{-1} \right| \left| \sigma_e^2 (A_2' A_2)^{-1} \right|^{T-1}$ ,  $|C| = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}$  and  $|C \otimes I_N| = |C|^N$ , see Magnus (1982). Under the assumption of normality, the log-likelihood function for this model can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} L = & Const. + \frac{1}{2} N \ln (1 - \rho^2) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left| d^2 (1 - \rho)^2 \sigma_\mu^2 (A_1' A_1)^{-1} + \sigma_e^2 (A_2' A_2)^{-1} \right| \\ & - \frac{1}{2} N (T - 1) \ln \sigma_e^2 + (T - 1) \ln |A_2| - \frac{1}{2} u^{*'} \Omega^{*-1} u^*, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $u^*$  is given by Equation (13) and  $\Omega^{*-1}$  is given by Equation (16).

**Assumption 7** Elements of the  $N \times k$  matrix of regressors  $X$  are nonstochastic and bounded, uniformly in  $N$  and  $T$ . Also, under the asymptotic setting in Assumption 5, the limit of  $\frac{1}{NT} \sum_{t=1}^T X' \Omega^{-1} X$  exists and is nonsingular.

**Assumption 8**  $\lim_{N,T \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{NT} \ln |\Omega| + 1 - \left( \frac{1}{NT} \ln |\Omega(\phi)| + p_{NT}(\phi) \right) \right] \neq 0$  for  $\phi \neq \phi_0$ , where  $p_{NT}(\phi) = \frac{1}{NT} \text{tr} |\Omega^{-1}(\phi) \Omega|$ ,  $\phi = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, \rho, \sigma_\mu^2, \sigma_e^2)$  and  $\phi_0$  denotes the true value of  $\phi$ .

Under Assumptions 1-8, Lee and Yu (2012) establishes consistency and asymptotic normality of the quasi-maximum likelihood estimator. They provided Matlab programs for these estimation methods. See

also Millo (2014) for R programs performing maximum likelihood estimation of panel data models with random effects, a spatially lagged dependent variable and spatially and serially correlated errors. In this paper we are interested in prediction. This is taken up in the next section.

### 3 BLUP

Goldberger (1962) showed that, for a known  $\Omega$ , the best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) for the  $i$ th individual  $s$  periods ahead ( $y_{i,T+s}$ ) is given by

$$\hat{y}_{i,T+s} = x'_{i,T+s} \hat{\beta}_{GLS} + w' \Omega^{-1} \hat{u}_{GLS}, \quad (20)$$

where  $w = E(uu_{i,T+s})$  is the covariance between the future disturbance  $u_{i,T+s}$  and the sample disturbances  $u$ .  $\hat{\beta}_{GLS}$  is the GLS estimator of  $\beta$  from Equation (8) based on the true  $\Omega$ . Also,  $\hat{u}_{GLS} = y - x' \hat{\beta}_{GLS}$  denotes the corresponding GLS residual vector. From Equation (9),  $u_{i,T+s}$  can be rewritten as  $u_{i,T+s} = \eta_i + \varepsilon_{i,T+s} = l'_i A_1^{-1} \mu + l'_i A_2^{-1} v_{T+s}$ , where  $l'_i$  as the  $i$ th row of  $I_N$  and  $v_{T+s}$  is the  $N \times 1$  vector of disturbances for the  $(T+s)$ th time period. Focusing on the last term of Equation (20), which we will call the Goldberger BLUP term, we get

$$w' \Omega^{-1} \hat{u}_{GLS} = E(u_{i,T+s} u') \Omega^{-1} \hat{u}_{GLS} = E(l'_i A_1^{-1} \mu u') \Omega^{-1} \hat{u}_{GLS} + E(l'_i A_2^{-1} v_{T+s} u') \Omega^{-1} \hat{u}_{GLS}. \quad (21)$$

Consider the first term in Equation (21). Define  $Z_1 = (A'_1 A_1)^{-1} Z$ . Using Equation (13), The first term in Equation (21) can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} & E(l'_i A_1^{-1} \mu u') \Omega^{-1} \hat{u}_{GLS} = E(l'_i A_1^{-1} \mu u') (C \otimes I_N)' \Omega^{*-1} (C \otimes I_N) \hat{u}_{GLS} \\ &= E \left\{ l'_i A_1^{-1} \mu [(1-\rho)(\iota_T^\alpha \otimes A_1^{-1}) \mu + (C \otimes A_2^{-1}) v]' \right\} \Omega^{*-1} \hat{u}_{GLS}^* \\ &= l'_i A_1^{-1} E(\mu \mu') (1-\rho)(\iota_T^\alpha \otimes A_1^{-1})' \Omega^{*-1} \hat{u}_{GLS}^* \\ &= (1-\rho) \sigma_\mu^2 \left[ \iota_T^{\alpha'} \otimes l'_i (A'_1 A_1)^{-1} \right] [\bar{J}_T^\alpha \otimes Z + \sigma_e^{-2} E_T^\alpha \otimes A'_2 A_2] \hat{u}_{GLS}^* \\ &= (1-\rho) \sigma_\mu^2 \left[ \iota_T^{\alpha'} \otimes l'_i (A'_1 A_1)^{-1} Z \right] \hat{u}_{GLS}^* \\ &= (1-\rho) \sigma_\mu^2 (\iota_T^{\alpha'} \otimes l'_i Z_1) \hat{u}_{GLS}^* \\ &= (1-\rho) \sigma_\mu^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ z_{1ik} \left( \alpha \hat{u}_{k1}^* + \sum_{t=2}^T \hat{u}_{kt}^* \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where  $z_{1ik}$  is the  $(i, k)$ th elements of  $Z_1$  and  $\hat{u}_{it}^*$  is the  $it$ th elements of  $\hat{u}_{GLS}^* = (C \otimes I_N) \hat{u}_{GLS}$ . This uses the following results:  $\iota_T^{\alpha'} \bar{J}_T^\alpha = \iota_T^{\alpha'}$ ,  $\iota_T^{\alpha'} E_T^\alpha = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mu$  and  $v_t$  are independent.

Consider the second term in Equation (21). Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
E(l'_i A_2^{-1} v_{T+s} u') &= E\left\{l'_i A_2^{-1} v_{T+s} [(\iota_T \otimes A_1^{-1}) \mu + (I_T \otimes A_2^{-1}) \nu]'\right\} \\
&= l'_i A_2^{-1} E(v_{T+s} \nu') (I_T \otimes A_2^{-1})' \\
&= l'_i A_2^{-1} \left[ \frac{\sigma_e^2}{1-\rho^2} \rho^s (\rho^{T-1}, \rho^{T-2}, \dots, \rho, 1) \otimes I_N \right] (I_T \otimes A_2^{-1})' \\
&= \frac{\sigma_e^2}{1-\rho^2} \rho^s \left[ (\rho^{T-1}, \rho^{T-2}, \dots, \rho, 1) \otimes l'_i (A'_2 A_2)^{-1} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

since  $\mu$  and  $v_t$  are independent, and  $\Omega^{-1}$  in Equation (18) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{-1} &= \frac{1}{d^2 (1-\rho)^2} (V^{-1} \iota_T \iota_T' V^{-1} \otimes Z) + \sigma_e^{-2} \left[ \left( V^{-1} - \frac{1}{d^2 (1-\rho)^2} V^{-1} \iota_T \iota_T' V^{-1} \right) \otimes A'_2 A_2 \right] \\
&= \sigma_e^{-2} V^{-1} \otimes A'_2 A_2 + \frac{1}{d^2 (1-\rho)^2} (V^{-1} \iota_T \iota_T' V^{-1}) \otimes (Z - \sigma_e^{-2} A'_2 A_2) \\
&= (\sigma_e^{-2} V^{-1} \otimes A'_2 A_2) \left[ I_{TN} + \frac{1}{d^2 (1-\rho)} (\iota_T \iota_T' C) \otimes (\sigma_e^2 Z_2 - I_N) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where  $Z_2 = (A'_2 A_2)^{-1} Z$ . Also,  $\iota_T' V^{-1} = \iota_T' C' C = (1-\rho) \iota_T' C$ . Hence the second term in Equation (21) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E(l'_i A_2^{-1} v_{T+s} u') \Omega^{-1} \hat{u}_{GLS} \\
&= \frac{\sigma_e^2}{1-\rho^2} \rho^s \left[ (\rho^{T-1}, \rho^{T-2}, \dots, \rho, 1) \otimes l'_i (A'_2 A_2)^{-1} \right] (\sigma_e^{-2} V^{-1} \otimes A'_2 A_2) \\
&\quad \left[ I_{TN} + \frac{1}{d^2 (1-\rho)} (\iota_T \iota_T' C) \otimes (\sigma_e^2 Z_2 - I_N) \right] \hat{u}_{GLS} \\
&= [\rho^s (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \otimes l'_i] \left\{ \hat{u}_{GLS} + \frac{1}{d^2 (1-\rho)} [(\iota_T \iota_T' C) \otimes (\sigma_e^2 Z_2 - I_N)] \hat{u}_{GLS}^* \right\} \\
&= \rho^s [(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \otimes l'_i] \hat{u}_{GLS} + \frac{\rho^s}{d^2 (1-\rho)} [l'_i C \otimes (\sigma_e^2 Z_2 - I_N)] \hat{u}_{GLS}^* \\
&= \rho^s \hat{u}_{i,T} + \frac{\sigma_e^2 \rho^s}{d^2 (1-\rho)} \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ z_{2ik} \left( \alpha \hat{u}_{k1}^* + \sum_{t=2}^T \hat{u}_{kt}^* \right) \right] - \frac{\rho^s}{d^2 (1-\rho)} \left( \alpha \hat{u}_{i1}^* + \sum_{t=2}^T \hat{u}_{it}^* \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where  $z_{2ik}$  is the  $(i, k)$ th element of  $Z_2$  and  $\hat{u}_{GLS}^* = (C \otimes I_N) \hat{u}_{GLS}$ . This uses the following results:  $\frac{1}{1-\rho^2} (\rho^{T-1}, \rho^{T-2}, \dots, \rho, 1)$  is the last row of  $V$  and  $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \iota_T = 1$ . Combining Equations (22) and

(25), one gets the following Goldberger BLUP term:

$$\begin{aligned}
w'\Omega^{-1}\hat{u}_{GLS} &= (1-\rho)\sigma_\mu^2\sum_{k=1}^N\left[z_{1ik}\left(\alpha\hat{u}_{k1}^*+\sum_{t=2}^T\hat{u}_{kt}^*\right)\right] \\
&\quad +\rho^s\hat{u}_{i,T}+\frac{\sigma_e^2\rho^s}{d^2(1-\rho)}\sum_{k=1}^N\left[z_{2ik}\left(\alpha\hat{u}_{k1}^*+\sum_{t=2}^T\hat{u}_{kt}^*\right)\right]-\frac{\rho^s}{d^2(1-\rho)}\left(\alpha\hat{u}_{i1}^*+\sum_{t=2}^T\hat{u}_{it}^*\right) \\
&= \rho^s\hat{u}_{i,T}+\sum_{k=1}^N\left[\left((1-\rho)\sigma_\mu^2z_{1ik}+\frac{\rho^s\sigma_e^2}{d^2(1-\rho)}z_{2ik}\right)\left(\alpha\hat{u}_{k1}^*+\sum_{t=2}^T\hat{u}_{kt}^*\right)\right] \\
&\quad -\frac{\rho^s}{d^2(1-\rho)}\left(\alpha\hat{u}_{i1}^*+\sum_{t=2}^T\hat{u}_{it}^*\right). \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

**Special case 1:** When  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ , the model reduces to the random effects spatial autoregressive RE-SAR model *with serial correlation* considered by Baltagi, Song, Jung and Koh (2007). In this case, we have  $A_1 = I_N$ ,  $A_2 = B_2$ ,  $Z = \left[d^2(1-\rho)^2\sigma_\mu^2I_N + \sigma_e^2(B_2'B_2)^{-1}\right]^{-1}$ ,  $Z_1 = Z$  and  $Z_2 = (B_2'B_2)^{-1}Z$ . The Goldberger BLUP term given in Equation (26) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
w'\Omega^{-1}\hat{u}_{GLS} &= \rho^s\hat{u}_{i,T}+\sum_{k=1}^N\left[\left((1-\rho)\sigma_\mu^2z_{ik}+\frac{\rho^s\sigma_e^2}{d^2(1-\rho)}g_{ik}\right)\left(\alpha\hat{u}_{k1}^*+\sum_{t=2}^T\hat{u}_{kt}^*\right)\right] \\
&\quad -\frac{\rho^s}{d^2(1-\rho)}\left(\alpha\hat{u}_{i1}^*+\sum_{t=2}^T\hat{u}_{it}^*\right), \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $z_{ik}$  and  $g_{ik}$  are the  $(i, k)$ th elements of  $Z$  and  $(B_2'B_2)^{-1}Z$ , respectively. Equivalently,  $g_{ik}$  can be defined as the  $k$ th element of  $g'_i = b'_i B_2^{-1}Z$  or  $g_i = Z' B_2^{-1} b_i$ , where  $b'_i$  as the  $i$ th row of  $B_2^{-1}$ . This is Goldberger's BLUP *extra term* derived by Song and Jung (2002) for the random effects error component model with SAR correlation and serial correlation in the remainder disturbances.

**Special case 2:** When  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$  and  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ , the model reduces to the random effects panel data model with AR(1) remainder error term and *no spatial correlation* considered by Baltagi and Li (1992). This model is special case 1, but with no spatial correlation. In this case, we have  $A_1 = A_2 = I_N$ ,  $Z = Z_1 = Z_2 = \left[d^2(1-\rho)^2\sigma_\mu^2I_N + \sigma_e^2I_N\right]^{-1} = \sigma_\alpha^{-2}I_N$ , where  $\sigma_\alpha^2 = d^2(1-\rho)^2\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_e^2$ . Substituting these

terms into Equation (27), the Goldberger BLUP term given in Equation (26) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
w'\Omega^{-1}\hat{u}_{GLS} &= \rho^s \hat{u}_{i,T} + \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ \left( \frac{(1-\rho)\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_\alpha^2} l_{ik} + \frac{\rho^s \sigma_e^2}{d^2(1-\rho)\sigma_\alpha^2} l_{ik} \right) \left( \alpha \hat{u}_{k1}^* + \sum_{t=2}^T \hat{u}_{kt}^* \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\rho^s}{d^2(1-\rho)} \left( \alpha \hat{u}_{i1}^* + \sum_{t=2}^T \hat{u}_{it}^* \right) \right] \\
&= \rho^s \hat{u}_{i,T} + \left( \frac{(1-\rho)\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_\alpha^2} + \frac{\rho^s \sigma_e^2}{d^2(1-\rho)\sigma_\alpha^2} \right) \left( \alpha \hat{u}_{i1}^* + \sum_{t=2}^T \hat{u}_{it}^* \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\rho^s}{d^2(1-\rho)} \left( \alpha \hat{u}_{i1}^* + \sum_{t=2}^T \hat{u}_{it}^* \right) \\
&= \rho^s \hat{u}_{i,T} + \frac{(1-\rho^s)(1-\rho)\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_\alpha^2} \left( \alpha \hat{u}_{i1}^* + \sum_{t=2}^T \hat{u}_{it}^* \right), \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $l_{ik}$  is the  $(i, k)$ th elements of  $I_N$ . When  $s = 1$ , it further reduces to Goldberger's BLUP *extra term* derived by Baltagi and Li (1992) for the random effects panel data model with AR(1) remainder error term and no spatial correlation.

**Special case 3:** When  $\lambda_1 = 0$ ,  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$  and  $\rho = 0$ , the model reduces to the random effects spatial autoregressive RE-SAR model with no serial correlation considered by Anselin (1988). This is special case 1, but with no serial correlation. Note that  $\rho = 0$  implies that  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $d^2 = T$ ,  $\hat{u}_{GLS}^* = \hat{u}_{GLS}$  and  $Z = C_1 \equiv [T\sigma_\mu^2 I_N + \sigma_e^2 (B_2' B_2)^{-1}]^{-1}$ . Substituting these into Equation (27), the Goldberger BLUP term given in Equation (26) reduces to

$$w'\Omega^{-1}\hat{u}_{GLS} = \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \sigma_\mu^2 c_{1ik} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{kt} \right) = T\sigma_\mu^2 \sum_{k=1}^N (c_{1ik} \bar{u}_k), \tag{29}$$

where  $c_{1ik}$  is the  $(i, k)$ th elements of  $C_1$  and  $\bar{u}_k = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{kt}$ . This is Goldberger's BLUP *extra term* derived by Baltagi and Li (2004, 2006) for the random effects error component model with SAR correlation in the remainder disturbances.

**Special case 4:** When  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\delta_1 = 0$  and  $\rho = 0$ , the model reduces to the random effects spatial moving average RE-SMA model with *no serial correlation* described by Anselin, Le Gallo and Jayet (2008). In this case, we have  $A_1 = I_N$ ,  $A_2 = D_2^{-1}$ . Note that  $\rho = 0$  implies that  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $d^2 = T$ ,  $\hat{u}_{GLS}^* = \hat{u}_{GLS}$  and  $Z = C_2 \equiv [T\sigma_\mu^2 I_N + \sigma_e^2 D_2' D_2]^{-1}$ ,  $Z_1 = Z$  and  $Z_2 = D_2' D_2 Z$ . Substituting these into Equation (27), the Goldberger BLUP term given in Equation (26) reduces to

$$w'\Omega^{-1}\hat{u}_{GLS} = \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \sigma_\mu^2 c_{2ik} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{kt} \right) = T\sigma_\mu^2 \sum_{k=1}^N (c_{2ik} \bar{u}_k), \tag{30}$$

where  $c_{2ik}$  is the  $(i, k)$ th elements of  $C_2$  and  $\bar{u}_k = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{kt}$ . This is Goldberger's BLUP *extra term* derived by Baltagi and Li (2004, 2006) for the RE-SMA model with no serial correlation in the remainder disturbances.

**Special case 5:** When  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ ,  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ ,  $W_1 = W_2$  and  $\rho = 0$ , the model reduces to the spatial autoregressive random effects SAR-RE model with *no serial correlation* considered by Kapoor, Kelejian and Prucha (2007). In this case, we have  $A_1 = A_2 = B_1$ . Note that  $\rho = 0$  implies that  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $d^2 = T$ ,  $\hat{u}_{GLS}^* = \hat{u}_{GLS}$  and  $Z = \left[ T\sigma_\mu^2 (B_1' B_1)^{-1} + \sigma_e^2 (B_1' B_1)^{-1} \right]^{-1} = \sigma_1^{-2} B_1' B_1$ , where  $\sigma_1^2 = T\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_e^2$  and  $Z_1 = Z_2 = \sigma_1^{-2} I_N$ . Substituting these results into Equation (27), the Goldberger BLUP term given in Equation (26) reduces to

$$w' \Omega^{-1} \hat{u}_{GLS} = \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \frac{\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_1^2} l_{ik} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{kt} \right) = \frac{T\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_1^2} \bar{u}_i, \quad (31)$$

where  $l_{ik}$  is the  $(i, k)$ th elements of  $I_N$  and  $\bar{u}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{it}$ . This is equivalent to  $\frac{\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_1^2} (l'_T \otimes l'_i) \hat{u}_{GLS}$ , where  $l'_i$  as the  $i$ th row of  $I_N$ . This is Goldberger's BLUP *extra term* derived by Baltagi, Bresson and Pirotte (2012) for the SAR-RE model with no serial correlation in the remainder disturbances.

**Special case 6:** When  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\delta_1 = \delta_2$ ,  $M_1 = M_2$  and  $\rho = 0$ , the model reduces to the spatial moving average random effects SMA-RE model with *no serial correlation* considered by Fingleton (2008). In this case, we have  $A_1 = A_2 = D_2^{-1} \equiv (I_N - \delta_2 M_2)^{-1}$ . Note that  $\rho = 0$  implies that  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $d^2 = T$ ,  $\hat{u}_{GLS}^* = \hat{u}_{GLS}$  and  $Z = \left[ T\sigma_\mu^2 D_2' D_2 + \sigma_e^2 D_2' D_2 \right]^{-1} = \sigma_1^{-2} (D_2' D_2)^{-1}$ , where  $\sigma_1^2 = T\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_e^2$  and  $Z_1 = Z_2 = \sigma_1^{-2} I_N$ . Substituting these results into Equation (27), the Goldberger BLUP term given in Equation (26) reduces to

$$w' \Omega^{-1} \hat{u}_{GLS} = \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \frac{\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_1^2} l_{ik} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{kt} \right) = \frac{T\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_1^2} \bar{u}_i, \quad (32)$$

where  $l_{ik}$  is the  $(i, k)$ th elements of  $I_N$  and  $\bar{u}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{it}$ . This is again equivalent to  $\frac{\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_1^2} (l'_T \otimes l'_i) \hat{u}_{GLS}$ , where  $l'_i$  as the  $i$ th row of  $I_N$ . This is Goldberger's BLUP *extra term* derived by Baltagi, Bresson and Pirotte (2012) for the SMA-RE model with no serial correlation in the remainder disturbances and it is the same as the one for SAR-RE model with no serial correlation in the remainder disturbances considered in special case 5. Note, however, that the feasible predictor will be based on different estimates of the residuals and variance components once the model is estimated by maximum likelihood or Generalized Moments.

**Special case 7:** When  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$  and  $\rho = 0$ , the model reduces to the generalized random effects spatial autoregressive model with *no serial correlation*, proposed by Baltagi, Egger and Pfaffermayr (2013). In this case, we have  $A_1 = B_1$  and  $A_2 = B_2$ . Note that  $\rho = 0$  implies that  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $d^2 = T$ ,  $\hat{u}_{GLS}^* = \hat{u}_{GLS}$  and  $Z = \left[ T\sigma_\mu^2 (B_1' B_1)^{-1} + \sigma_e^2 (B_2' B_2)^{-1} \right]^{-1}$  and  $Z_1 = (B_1' B_1)^{-1} Z = \left[ T\sigma_\mu^2 I_N + \sigma_e^2 (B_2' B_2)^{-1} (B_1' B_1) \right]^{-1}$

$\equiv C_3$ . Substituting these results into Equation (27), the Goldberger BLUP term given in Equation (26) reduces to

$$w'\Omega^{-1}\hat{u}_{GLS} = \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \sigma_\mu^2 c_{3ik} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{kt} \right) = T\sigma_\mu^2 \sum_{k=1}^N (c_{3ik} \bar{u}_k), \quad (33)$$

where  $c_{3ik}$  is the  $(i, k)$ th elements of  $C_3$  and  $\bar{u}_k = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{kt}$ .

**Special case 8:** When  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$  and  $\rho = 0$ , the model reduces to the familiar random effects model *without spatial or serial autocorrelation*. In this case, we have  $A_1 = A_2 = I_N$ . Note that  $\rho = 0$  implies that  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $d^2 = T$ ,  $\hat{u}_{GLS}^* = \hat{u}_{GLS}$  and  $Z = Z_1 = Z_2 = [T\sigma_\mu^2 I_N + \sigma_e^2 I_N]^{-1} = \sigma_1^{-2} I_N$ , where  $\sigma_1^2 = T\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_e^2$ . Substituting these results into Equation (27), the Goldberger BLUP term given in Equation (26) reduces to

$$w'\Omega^{-1}\hat{u}_{GLS} = \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \frac{\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_1^2} l_{ik} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{kt} \right) = \frac{T\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_1^2} \bar{u}_i, \quad (34)$$

where  $l_{ik}$  is the  $(i, k)$ th elements of  $I_N$  and  $\bar{u}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{it}$ . This is again equivalent to  $\frac{\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_1^2} (l'_T \otimes l'_i) \hat{u}_{GLS}$ , where  $l'_i$  as the  $i$ th row of  $I_N$ . This is Goldberger's BLUP *extra term* derived by Wansbeek and Kapteyn (1978), Lee and Griffiths (1979), and Taub (1979) for the random effects error component model and it is the same as the one for SAR or SMA correlation in the remainder disturbances in special cases 5 and 6 but with different estimates of the residuals and variance components once the model is estimated by maximum likelihood or Generalized Moments. In order to make this forecast operational,  $\hat{\beta}_{GLS}$  is replaced by its feasible GLS estimate and the variance components are replaced by their feasible estimates.

## 4 Monte Carlo Simulation

This section performs some Monte Carlo experiments to evaluate the performance of our proposed predictors for the random effects model with both time autocorrelated and spatial correlated disturbances. It is important to note that Baltagi, Bresson and Pirotte (2012) performed extensive Monte Carlo experiments to evaluate the performance of predictors for the random effects model with spatial correlated disturbances. Following Baltagi, Bresson and Pirotte (2012) the data generating process starts with a simple panel data regression with random one-way error components disturbances

$$y_{it} = 5 + 0.5x_{it} + u_{it}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad t = 1, \dots, T + 1. \quad (35)$$

The variable  $x_{it}$  was generated as  $x_{it} = \delta_i + \xi_{it}$ , where  $\delta_i$  is a random variable uniformly distributed on the interval  $[-7.5, 7.5]$  and  $\xi_{it}$  is a random variable uniformly distributed on the interval  $[-5, 5]$ . We choose the same spatial weight matrix  $W_1 = W_2 = M_1 = M_2 = W$ . Following Baltagi, Bresson and Pirotte (2012), the

matrix  $W$  is created such that its  $i$ -th row has non-zero elements in positions  $i + 5$  and  $i - 5$ . Therefore, the  $i$ -th element of  $u$  is directly related to the five ones immediately before it and the five ones immediately after it. This matrix is defined in a circular world so that the non-zero elements in rows 1 and  $N$  are, respectively, in positions  $(2, 3, 4, 5, 6, N - 4, N - 3, N - 2, N - 1, N)$  and  $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, N - 5, N - 4, N - 3, N - 2, N - 1)$ . This matrix is row normalized so that all of its non-zero elements are equal to  $1/10$ . As in Kapoor, Kelejian and Prucha (2007), this weighting matrix is referred as “5 ahead and 5 behind”. The remainder disturbances  $u_{it}$  were generated as an spatially correlated process with the following Data Generating Processes (DGP):

1. SAR:  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  take values  $(0, 0.2, 0.5, 0.8)$ . These are reported in Tables 1-4 for  $\rho = 0, 0.2, 0.5, 0.8$ .
2. SMA:  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  take values  $(0, 0.2, 0.5, 0.8)$ . These are reported in Tables 5-8 for  $\rho = 0, 0.2, 0.5, 0.8$ .
3. SARMA:  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  take values  $(0.2, 0.5)$ . These are reported in Tables 9-12 for  $\rho = 0, 0.2, 0.5, 0.8$ .

The individual specific effect  $\mu_i$  is a random variable uniformly distributed as  $\mu_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, 10)$ . The remainder disturbances  $\nu_{it}$  were generated as an AR(1) process with  $\nu_{it} = \rho\nu_{i,t-1} + \varepsilon_{it}$ , where  $\varepsilon_{it}$  is a random variable uniformly distributed as  $\varepsilon_{it} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, 10)$  and  $\rho$  takes values  $(0, 0.2, 0.5, 0.8)$ . Baltagi, Bresson and Pirotte (2012) considered several forecasts using panel data with spatial error correlation where the true data generating process was assumed to be a simple error component regression model with spatial remainder disturbances of the autoregressive or moving average type. Here, we extend this to the spatial autoregressive moving average type.

Predictions were made for only one period ahead. In order to depict the typical United States panel, the sample sizes  $(N, T)$  in the different experiments were chosen as  $(49, 10)$ . For each experiment, we perform 1,000 replications. For each replication we estimate the model using the first 10 years and forecast 1 year ahead. Following Baltagi, Bresson and Pirotte (2012), we report the sampling root mean square error (RMSE) of each of the predictors considered above, which is computed as

$$RMSE = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^R \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\hat{y}_{i,T+1} - y_{i,T+1})^2}, \quad (36)$$

where  $R = 1,000$  replications. Following Frees and Miller (2004) among others, we also summarize the accuracy of the forecasts using the mean absolute error (MAE)

$$MAE = \frac{1}{RN} \sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{i=1}^N |\hat{y}_{i,T+1} - y_{i,T+1}|. \quad (37)$$

For example, Willmott and Matsuura (2005) show that MAE has advantages over RMSE. RMSE and MAE of each of the predictors is reported in Tables 1-12. The columns of these Tables are labeled with the estimator used. The first column is OLS, the second column is the estimator for special case 1 which is a RE-SAR with AR(1) remainder error, the third column is the estimator for special case 2 which is a RE-SAR with no serial correlation, etc. The last column is for the Generalized estimator for a SARMA with AR(1) remainder error. For each model except OLS, slope and variance components parameters are estimated using MLE. It is worth pointing out that MLE estimators from an incorrectly specified model may affect the properties of the forecast. OLS is consistent but not efficient and ignores the heterogeneity in the panel and the spatial correlation. We include it for applied researchers that ignore spatial correlation and heterogeneity in the panel. Obviously, its predictions do not use the Goldberger correction and perform badly in Monte Carlo as the BLUP theory predicts.

Overall, forecasts one year ahead based on OLS, an estimator that ignores heterogeneity, spatial correlation and time autocorrelation performs the worst in terms of RMSE in all Tables. In Tables with  $\rho = 0$ , predictors one year ahead based on estimators that do not correct for serial correlation perform well in terms of RMSE. As  $\rho$  increases to 0.5 and 0.8, predictors one year ahead based on estimators that correct for serial correlation perform well in terms of RMSE. In Table 12, where the DGP is a SARMA with  $\rho = 0.8$ , the best RMSE is obtained by cases 1, 2, and the General predictor, all of which take care of serial correlation.

Predictors that account for time autocorrelation improve the forecast performance by a big margin. Predictors that account for spatial correlation improve the forecast, but by a smaller margin. These findings are consistent with those in Baltagi, Bresson and Pirotte (2012). This is true whether the true model is SAR, SMA or SARMA with AR(1) remainder error. In Table 4, where the true model is SAR with  $\rho = 0.8$ , OLS has a RMSE of 8.242 for  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0.8$ . Correcting for heterogeneity using a random effects estimator, as in case 8, only drops this to 6.686. If we do RE correcting for serial and spatial correlation, this forecast RMSE drops to 4.579 for case 1 (SAR-RE) and 4.573 for the General (SARMA-RE) estimator. Note that ignoring the spatial correlation and correcting only for serial correlation as in case 2, RE with AR(1), drops this forecast RMSE already to 4.604. Correcting for spatial correlation without correcting for time wise serial correlation as in cases 3-7 drops this RMSE only to 6.692 to 6.726 range. The results are similar in Table 8, where the true model is SMA with  $\rho = 0.8$ , OLS has a RMSE of 6.195 for  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0.8$ . Correcting for heterogeneity using a random effects estimator, as in case 8, only drops this to 4.871. If we do RE correcting for serial and spatial correlation, this forecast RMSE drops to 3.301 for case 1 (SAR-RE) and 3.300 for the General (SARMA-RE) estimator. Note that ignoring the spatial correlation and correcting only for serial correlation as in case 2, RE with AR(1), drops this forecast RMSE already to 3.302. Correcting

for spatial correlation without correcting for time wise serial correlation as in cases 3-7 drops this RMSE only to the 4.867 to 4.873 range. The same thing happens for Table 12, where the true model is SARMA with  $\rho = 0.8$ , OLS has a RMSE of 7.041 for  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0.8$  and  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0.5$ . Correcting for heterogeneity using a random effects estimator, as in case 8, only drops this to 5.598. If we do RE correcting for serial and spatial correlation, this forecast RMSE drops to 3.814 for case 1 (SAR-RE) and 3.810 for the General (SARMA-RE) estimator. Note that ignoring the spatial correlation and correcting only for serial correlation as in case 2, RE with AR(1), drops this forecast RMSE already to 3.821. Correcting for spatial correlation without correcting for time wise serial correlation as in cases 3-7 drops this RMSE only to the 5.591 to 5.601 range. Results of MAE yield similar findings to those of RMSE in Tables 1-12.

## 5 Conclusion

This paper derives Goldberger's (1962) best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) for the generalized spatial panel data model with serial correlation proposed by Lee and Yu (2012). Since the latter model encompasses a lot of the spatial panel data models considered in the literature, this in turn provides valuable BLUP for several spatial panel models as special cases. Extensions of this BLUP should be applied to dynamic spatial panel models, see Baltagi, Fingleton and Pirotte (2014), and to panel data models with a spatial lag, as well as higher order autoregressive and moving average processes, see Baltagi and Liu (2013a, 2013b). Furthermore, applied researchers may be interested in confidence intervals for serially dependent data. See Lahiri and Yang (2013) for an example. One might be interested in obtaining confidence intervals of  $\hat{y}_{i,T+s}$ . This leaves a potential research topic for the future.

## Acknowledgements

This work received computational support from Computational System Biology Core, funded by the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (G12MD007591) from the National Institutes of Health.

### REFERENCES

- Anselin, L., 1988, *Spatial Econometrics: Methods and Models*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Anselin, L., J. Le Gallo and H. Jayet, 2008, Spatial panel econometrics. Ch. 19 in L. Mátyás and P. Sevestre, eds., *The Econometrics of Panel Data: Fundamentals and Recent Developments in Theory and Practice*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 625-660.
- Baltagi, B.H., 2008, Forecasting with panel data, *Journal of Forecasting* 27, 153-173.

- Baltagi, B.H., 2013, Panel Data Forecasting, Chapter 18 in the Handbook of Economic Forecasting, Volume 2B, edited by Graham Elliott and Allan Timmermann, North Holland, Amsterdam, 995-1024.
- Baltagi, B.H., Bresson, G. and Pirotte, A., 2012, Forecasting with spatial panel data, Computational Statistics and Data Analysis 56, 3381-3397.
- Baltagi, B.H., P. Egger and M. Pfaffermayr, 2013, A generalized spatial panel data model with random effects, Econometric Reviews 32, 650-685.
- Baltagi, B.H., B. Fingleton and A. Pirotte, 2014, Estimating and forecasting with a dynamic spatial panel data model, Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 76, 112-138.
- Baltagi, B.H. and J.M. Griffin, 1997, Pooled estimators vs. their heterogeneous counterparts in the context of dynamic demand for gasoline, Journal of Econometrics 77, 303-327.
- Baltagi, B.H. and D. Li, 2004, Prediction in the panel data model with spatial correlation, Chapter 13 in L. Anselin, R.J.G.M. Florax and S.J. Rey, eds., Advances in Spatial Econometrics: Methodology, Tools and Applications, Springer, Berlin, 283-295.
- Baltagi, B.H. and D. Li, 2006, Prediction in the panel data model with spatial correlation: The case of liquor, Spatial Economic Analysis 1, 175-185.
- Baltagi, B.H. and Q. Li, 1992, Prediction in the one-way error component model with serial correlation, Journal of Forecasting 11, 561-567.
- Baltagi, B.H. and L. Liu, 2013a, Estimation and prediction in the random effects model with AR(p) remainder disturbances, International Journal of Forecasting 29, 100-107.
- Baltagi, B.H. and L. Liu, 2013b, Prediction in the random effects model with MA(q) remainder disturbances, Journal of Forecasting 32, 333-338.
- Baltagi, B.H., Song, S.H., Jung, B.C. and W. Koh, 2007. Testing for serial correlation, spatial autocorrelation and random effects using panel data, Journal of Econometrics 140, 5-51.
- Brucker, H. and B. Siliverstovs, 2006, On the estimation and forecasting of international migration: how relevant is heterogeneity across countries, Empirical Economics 31, 735-754.
- Driver, C., K. Imai, P. Temple and A. Urga, 2004, The effect of uncertainty on UK investment authorisation: homogeneous vs. heterogeneous estimators, Empirical Economics 29, 115-128.
- Fingleton, B., 2008, A generalized method of moments estimators for a spatial panel model with an endogenous spatial lag and spatial moving average errors, Spatial Economic Analysis 3, 27-44.
- Fingleton, B., 2009, Prediction using panel data regression with spatial random effects, International Regional Science Review 32, 195-220.
- Frees, E.W. and T.W. Miller, 2004, Sales forecasting using longitudinal data models, International Journal of Forecasting

20, 99–114.

Girardin, E. and K. A. Kholodilin, 2011, How helpful are spatial effects in forecasting the growth of Chinese provinces?, *Journal of Forecasting* 30(7), 622-643.

Goldberger, A.S., 1962, Best linear unbiased prediction in the generalized linear regression model, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 57, 369-375.

Hoogstrate, A. J., F. C. Palm, and G. A. Pfann, 2000, Pooling in dynamic panel-data models: An application to forecasting GDP growth rates, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 18, 274-283.

Kapoor, M., H.H. Kelejian and I.R.Prucha, 2007, Panel data models with spatially correlated error components, *Journal of Econometrics* 140, 97-130.

Lahiri, K. and L. Yang, 2013, Confidence bands for ROC curves with serially dependent data, University at Albany New York working paper.

Lee, L.F. and W.E. Griffiths, 1979, The prior likelihood and best linear unbiased prediction in stochastic coefficient linear models, working paper, Department of Economics, University of Minnesota.

Lee, L.F. and J. Yu. 2010, Some recent developments in spatial panel data models, *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 40, 255–271.

Lee, L.F. and J. Yu, 2012, Spatial panels: Random components versus fixed effects, *International Economic Review* 53, 1369-1412.

Lee, L.F. and J. Yu, 2015, Spatial panel data models, Chapter 12 in the *Oxford Handbook of Panel Data*, Badi H. Baltagi, editor, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 363-401.

Longhi S. and P. Nijkamp, 2007, Forecasting regional labor market developments under spatial heterogeneity and spatial correlation, *International Regional Science Review* 30, 100-119.

Maddala, G.S., R.P. Trost, H. Li and F. Joutz, 1997, Estimation of short-run and long-run elasticities of energy demand from panel data using shrinkage estimators, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 15, 90-100.

Magnus, J.R., 1982. Multivariate error components analysis of linear and nonlinear regression models by maximum likelihood, *Journal of Econometrics* 19, 239-285.

Millo, G., 2014, Maximum likelihood estimation of spatially and serially correlated panels with random effects, *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 71, 914-933.

Rapach, D.E. and M.E. Wohar, 2004, Testing the monetary model of exchange rate determination: a closer look at panels, *Journal of International Money and Finance* 23, 867-895.

Schmalensee, R., T.M. Stoker and R.A. Judson, 1998, World carbon dioxide emissions: 1950-2050, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 80, 15-27.

Song, S.H. and B.C. Jung, 2002, BLUP in the panel regression model with spatially and serially correlated error components,

Statistical Papers 43, 551-566.

Taub, A.J., 1979, Prediction in the context of the variance-components model, *Journal of Econometrics* 10, 103–108.

Wansbeek, T.J. and A. Kapteyn, 1978, The separation of individual variation and systematic change in the analysis of panel data, *Annales de l'INSEE* 30-31, 659-680.

Willmott, C. J. and K. Matsuura, 2005, Advantages of the mean absolute error (MAE) over the root mean square error (RMSE) in assessing average model performance, *Climate Research*, 30(1), 79-82.

Table 1: RMSE and MAE of Spatial Panel Data Predictors: SAR and  $\rho = 0$

RMSE											
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	4.396	3.290	3.290	3.284	3.284	3.284	3.284	3.285	3.284	3.291
0	0.2	4.417	3.316	3.317	3.311	3.311	3.311	3.311	3.312	3.311	3.319
0	0.5	4.574	3.524	3.529	3.518	3.518	3.519	3.519	3.521	3.519	3.530
0	0.8	5.520	4.684	4.737	4.674	4.678	4.693	4.692	4.680	4.699	4.694
0.2	0	4.399	3.290	3.290	3.285	3.285	3.284	3.284	3.285	3.285	3.291
0.2	0.2	4.420	3.317	3.317	3.312	3.312	3.311	3.311	3.312	3.311	3.318
0.2	0.5	4.576	3.527	3.529	3.521	3.520	3.519	3.519	3.523	3.520	3.530
0.2	0.8	5.521	4.693	4.738	4.684	4.682	4.693	4.692	4.688	4.699	4.702
0.5	0	4.482	3.291	3.291	3.286	3.286	3.286	3.286	3.284	3.286	3.290
0.5	0.2	4.502	3.320	3.318	3.314	3.314	3.312	3.312	3.312	3.312	3.318
0.5	0.5	4.656	3.537	3.531	3.530	3.528	3.520	3.520	3.523	3.521	3.530
0.5	0.8	5.587	4.726	4.740	4.717	4.695	4.694	4.694	4.702	4.702	4.715
0.8	0	4.957	3.295	3.295	3.290	3.290	3.290	3.290	3.283	3.290	3.289
0.8	0.2	4.975	3.326	3.322	3.321	3.320	3.317	3.316	3.311	3.316	3.317
0.8	0.5	5.113	3.561	3.535	3.554	3.543	3.526	3.526	3.523	3.526	3.530
0.8	0.8	5.974	4.886	4.746	4.879	4.726	4.700	4.701	4.708	4.708	4.718
MAE											
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	3.527	2.634	2.634	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.635
0	0.2	3.544	2.657	2.657	2.652	2.652	2.651	2.651	2.653	2.652	2.658
0	0.5	3.671	2.829	2.833	2.824	2.824	2.825	2.825	2.827	2.826	2.834
0	0.8	4.469	3.829	3.870	3.821	3.823	3.835	3.834	3.826	3.838	3.837
0.2	0	3.529	2.634	2.634	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.635
0.2	0.2	3.547	2.657	2.657	2.652	2.652	2.652	2.652	2.653	2.652	2.658
0.2	0.5	3.674	2.831	2.833	2.827	2.826	2.825	2.825	2.828	2.826	2.834
0.2	0.8	4.473	3.837	3.871	3.829	3.826	3.835	3.834	3.832	3.839	3.844
0.5	0	3.599	2.636	2.635	2.631	2.631	2.631	2.631	2.629	2.631	2.634
0.5	0.2	3.616	2.659	2.658	2.655	2.654	2.653	2.653	2.652	2.653	2.657
0.5	0.5	3.743	2.839	2.835	2.834	2.832	2.826	2.826	2.829	2.827	2.834
0.5	0.8	4.532	3.867	3.873	3.859	3.838	3.836	3.835	3.844	3.842	3.854
0.8	0	4.002	2.639	2.639	2.634	2.634	2.634	2.634	2.629	2.634	2.634
0.8	0.2	4.018	2.665	2.661	2.660	2.659	2.656	2.656	2.652	2.656	2.657
0.8	0.5	4.134	2.860	2.839	2.854	2.845	2.831	2.831	2.828	2.831	2.834
0.8	0.8	4.870	4.006	3.879	4.000	3.865	3.842	3.842	3.848	3.848	3.856

Notes:  $N = 49$ ,  $T = 10$ . 1,000 replications and 1 year forecasting ahead.

Table 2: RMSE and MAE of Spatial Panel Data Predictors: SAR and  $\rho = 0.2$

RMSE											
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	4.445	3.279	3.279	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.343	3.342	3.280
0	0.2	4.467	3.305	3.306	3.369	3.369	3.369	3.369	3.370	3.369	3.308
0	0.5	4.629	3.512	3.517	3.581	3.580	3.582	3.582	3.583	3.582	3.518
0	0.8	5.605	4.666	4.724	4.760	4.764	4.783	4.781	4.767	4.783	4.673
0.2	0	4.447	3.279	3.279	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.280
0.2	0.2	4.469	3.306	3.306	3.370	3.370	3.369	3.369	3.370	3.369	3.308
0.2	0.5	4.632	3.515	3.517	3.583	3.582	3.582	3.582	3.585	3.582	3.519
0.2	0.8	5.607	4.674	4.724	4.769	4.768	4.783	4.781	4.774	4.783	4.680
0.5	0	4.530	3.281	3.280	3.343	3.343	3.343	3.343	3.341	3.343	3.279
0.5	0.2	4.551	3.310	3.307	3.373	3.372	3.370	3.370	3.370	3.371	3.307
0.5	0.5	4.710	3.526	3.519	3.592	3.589	3.584	3.584	3.586	3.584	3.519
0.5	0.8	5.672	4.703	4.727	4.800	4.779	4.784	4.783	4.787	4.786	4.695
0.8	0	5.001	3.286	3.285	3.349	3.349	3.348	3.348	3.340	3.348	3.278
0.8	0.2	5.020	3.318	3.313	3.380	3.379	3.375	3.375	3.369	3.376	3.306
0.8	0.5	5.164	3.564	3.525	3.614	3.605	3.589	3.589	3.586	3.590	3.519
0.8	0.8	6.055	4.844	4.734	4.950	4.809	4.790	4.789	4.796	4.794	4.705
MAE											
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	3.564	2.626	2.625	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.675	2.674	2.626
0	0.2	3.582	2.648	2.648	2.697	2.697	2.697	2.697	2.698	2.697	2.649
0	0.5	3.714	2.819	2.823	2.871	2.871	2.873	2.873	2.874	2.873	2.824
0	0.8	4.541	3.813	3.858	3.890	3.892	3.907	3.906	3.896	3.906	3.820
0.2	0	3.567	2.626	2.626	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.626
0.2	0.2	3.585	2.648	2.648	2.697	2.697	2.697	2.697	2.698	2.697	2.649
0.2	0.5	3.717	2.822	2.824	2.873	2.873	2.873	2.873	2.875	2.873	2.825
0.2	0.8	4.544	3.821	3.859	3.898	3.896	3.907	3.906	3.902	3.907	3.826
0.5	0	3.636	2.627	2.627	2.675	2.675	2.675	2.675	2.674	2.675	2.626
0.5	0.2	3.654	2.651	2.650	2.700	2.700	2.698	2.698	2.698	2.698	2.649
0.5	0.5	3.785	2.831	2.826	2.881	2.879	2.874	2.874	2.876	2.875	2.825
0.5	0.8	4.603	3.847	3.862	3.925	3.906	3.908	3.907	3.912	3.910	3.838
0.8	0	4.035	2.631	2.631	2.680	2.680	2.679	2.679	2.673	2.680	2.625
0.8	0.2	4.053	2.658	2.654	2.706	2.705	2.702	2.702	2.697	2.703	2.648
0.8	0.5	4.174	2.863	2.831	2.900	2.892	2.879	2.878	2.876	2.880	2.825
0.8	0.8	4.934	3.970	3.869	4.055	3.932	3.913	3.913	3.919	3.917	3.846

Notes:  $N = 49$ ,  $T = 10$ . 1,000 replications and 1 year forecasting ahead.

Table 3: RMSE and MAE of Spatial Panel Data Predictors: SAR and  $\rho = 0.5$

RMSE											
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	4.760	3.250	3.250	3.715	3.715	3.715	3.715	3.716	3.715	3.251
0	0.2	4.785	3.276	3.276	3.745	3.745	3.745	3.745	3.745	3.745	3.279
0	0.5	4.973	3.480	3.487	3.975	3.974	3.980	3.979	3.977	3.977	3.484
0	0.8	6.091	4.625	4.687	5.264	5.271	5.302	5.298	5.278	5.289	4.629
0.2	0	4.763	3.250	3.250	3.716	3.716	3.716	3.716	3.716	3.716	3.251
0.2	0.2	4.787	3.277	3.277	3.745	3.745	3.745	3.745	3.746	3.745	3.279
0.2	0.5	4.976	3.483	3.487	3.977	3.976	3.979	3.979	3.979	3.977	3.486
0.2	0.8	6.093	4.631	4.687	5.272	5.274	5.302	5.298	5.284	5.290	4.634
0.5	0	4.841	3.252	3.252	3.718	3.718	3.718	3.718	3.715	3.718	3.250
0.5	0.2	4.865	3.282	3.279	3.749	3.748	3.747	3.746	3.746	3.747	3.278
0.5	0.5	5.050	3.495	3.489	3.984	3.982	3.980	3.980	3.981	3.980	3.489
0.5	0.8	6.155	4.649	4.691	5.299	5.284	5.302	5.299	5.297	5.294	4.646
0.8	0	5.287	3.261	3.259	3.726	3.726	3.724	3.724	3.715	3.725	3.249
0.8	0.2	5.310	3.299	3.287	3.758	3.758	3.753	3.753	3.746	3.755	3.277
0.8	0.5	5.479	3.548	3.498	4.008	4.000	3.986	3.986	3.984	3.988	3.490
0.8	0.8	6.514	4.730	4.703	5.426	5.315	5.307	5.306	5.310	5.306	4.666
MAE											
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	3.818	2.603	2.603	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.604
0	0.2	3.839	2.625	2.625	2.998	2.998	2.999	2.999	2.999	2.998	2.627
0	0.5	3.994	2.793	2.799	3.187	3.187	3.191	3.191	3.189	3.190	2.796
0	0.8	4.941	3.780	3.828	4.297	4.302	4.327	4.324	4.307	4.315	3.784
0.2	0	3.821	2.603	2.603	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.604
0.2	0.2	3.842	2.626	2.626	2.999	2.999	2.999	2.999	2.999	2.999	2.627
0.2	0.5	3.998	2.796	2.799	3.189	3.188	3.191	3.191	3.190	3.190	2.798
0.2	0.8	4.945	3.785	3.829	4.303	4.304	4.326	4.323	4.313	4.316	3.787
0.5	0	3.887	2.605	2.605	2.977	2.977	2.976	2.976	2.975	2.977	2.603
0.5	0.2	3.908	2.630	2.627	3.001	3.001	3.000	3.000	2.999	3.000	2.626
0.5	0.5	4.062	2.806	2.802	3.195	3.194	3.192	3.192	3.193	3.192	2.801
0.5	0.8	5.000	3.802	3.833	4.326	4.313	4.327	4.324	4.323	4.319	3.798
0.8	0	4.263	2.612	2.611	2.983	2.983	2.982	2.982	2.974	2.983	2.602
0.8	0.2	4.283	2.644	2.634	3.009	3.009	3.005	3.005	3.000	3.006	2.626
0.8	0.5	4.428	2.852	2.809	3.215	3.208	3.197	3.197	3.195	3.199	2.801
0.8	0.8	5.309	3.872	3.844	4.435	4.339	4.331	4.330	4.335	4.330	3.815

Notes:  $N = 49$ ,  $T = 10$ . 1,000 replications and 1 year forecasting ahead.

Table 4: RMSE and MAE of Spatial Panel Data Predictors: SAR and  $\rho = 0.8$

RMSE											
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	6.056	3.190	3.190	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	3.191
0	0.2	6.086	3.216	3.216	4.763	4.763	4.764	4.764	4.763	4.763	3.217
0	0.5	6.351	3.418	3.423	5.043	5.043	5.053	5.053	5.049	5.049	3.419
0	0.8	7.898	4.554	4.587	6.649	6.655	6.694	6.689	6.676	6.673	4.554
0.2	0	6.059	3.190	3.190	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	3.191
0.2	0.2	6.088	3.216	3.216	4.763	4.763	4.764	4.764	4.763	4.763	3.218
0.2	0.5	6.354	3.419	3.423	5.044	5.044	5.052	5.052	5.050	5.049	3.420
0.2	0.8	7.900	4.556	4.588	6.653	6.656	6.693	6.688	6.677	6.673	4.556
0.5	0	6.121	3.192	3.192	4.731	4.731	4.731	4.731	4.730	4.731	3.191
0.5	0.2	6.151	3.219	3.219	4.765	4.765	4.765	4.765	4.765	4.765	3.218
0.5	0.5	6.414	3.423	3.425	5.048	5.048	5.053	5.053	5.052	5.051	3.423
0.5	0.8	7.951	4.560	4.591	6.667	6.661	6.693	6.688	6.682	6.676	4.560
0.8	0	6.487	3.203	3.200	4.738	4.738	4.738	4.738	4.731	4.738	3.191
0.8	0.2	6.515	3.234	3.227	4.774	4.774	4.770	4.770	4.766	4.772	3.221
0.8	0.5	6.764	3.441	3.435	5.065	5.061	5.057	5.057	5.057	5.059	3.432
0.8	0.8	8.242	4.579	4.604	6.726	6.680	6.695	6.692	6.695	6.686	4.573
MAE											
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	4.864	2.555	2.556	3.787	3.787	3.788	3.788	3.787	3.788	2.556
0	0.2	4.888	2.577	2.578	3.814	3.814	3.815	3.815	3.814	3.815	2.578
0	0.5	5.105	2.744	2.748	4.042	4.042	4.050	4.050	4.046	4.047	2.745
0	0.8	6.404	3.723	3.747	5.419	5.422	5.452	5.449	5.438	5.437	3.722
0.2	0	4.867	2.556	2.556	3.787	3.787	3.788	3.788	3.787	3.788	2.556
0.2	0.2	4.891	2.578	2.578	3.814	3.814	3.815	3.815	3.815	3.815	2.578
0.2	0.5	5.108	2.745	2.748	4.043	4.043	4.050	4.050	4.047	4.047	2.745
0.2	0.8	6.408	3.724	3.748	5.422	5.423	5.452	5.448	5.439	5.437	3.723
0.5	0	4.918	2.558	2.558	3.789	3.789	3.789	3.789	3.788	3.789	2.557
0.5	0.2	4.944	2.580	2.580	3.816	3.816	3.816	3.816	3.816	3.816	2.579
0.5	0.5	5.159	2.749	2.750	4.046	4.046	4.050	4.050	4.050	4.049	2.749
0.5	0.8	6.453	3.728	3.750	5.434	5.427	5.452	5.448	5.443	5.439	3.727
0.8	0	5.219	2.566	2.565	3.794	3.794	3.794	3.794	3.789	3.795	2.556
0.8	0.2	5.244	2.592	2.587	3.822	3.822	3.820	3.820	3.817	3.822	2.581
0.8	0.5	5.453	2.764	2.758	4.060	4.057	4.053	4.053	4.054	4.055	2.756
0.8	0.8	6.706	3.745	3.761	5.485	5.444	5.454	5.452	5.455	5.448	3.738

Notes:  $N = 49$ ,  $T = 10$ . 1,000 replications and 1 year forecasting ahead.

Table 5: RMSE and MAE of Spatial Panel Data Predictors: SMA and  $\rho = 0$ 

RMSE											
$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	4.396	3.290	3.290	3.284	3.284	3.284	3.284	3.285	3.284	3.291
0	0.2	4.405	3.299	3.299	3.293	3.293	3.293	3.293	3.295	3.293	3.301
0	0.5	4.435	3.336	3.337	3.330	3.330	3.330	3.330	3.332	3.330	3.339
0	0.8	4.484	3.399	3.403	3.393	3.392	3.393	3.393	3.396	3.394	3.404
0.2	0	4.390	3.290	3.290	3.284	3.284	3.284	3.284	3.285	3.284	3.291
0.2	0.2	4.399	3.299	3.299	3.294	3.294	3.293	3.293	3.295	3.293	3.301
0.2	0.5	4.428	3.337	3.337	3.331	3.331	3.330	3.330	3.332	3.330	3.339
0.2	0.8	4.478	3.401	3.403	3.395	3.394	3.393	3.393	3.396	3.394	3.404
0.5	0	4.393	3.290	3.290	3.285	3.285	3.285	3.285	3.284	3.285	3.290
0.5	0.2	4.402	3.300	3.299	3.295	3.295	3.293	3.293	3.294	3.294	3.300
0.5	0.5	4.431	3.339	3.338	3.334	3.333	3.330	3.330	3.332	3.331	3.338
0.5	0.8	4.481	3.405	3.403	3.399	3.399	3.394	3.393	3.397	3.395	3.404
0.8	0	4.412	3.291	3.291	3.285	3.285	3.285	3.285	3.283	3.285	3.289
0.8	0.2	4.420	3.302	3.300	3.296	3.296	3.294	3.294	3.293	3.294	3.299
0.8	0.5	4.449	3.342	3.338	3.336	3.336	3.331	3.331	3.331	3.331	3.337
0.8	0.8	4.498	3.411	3.404	3.405	3.403	3.394	3.394	3.397	3.395	3.403
MAE											
$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	3.527	2.634	2.634	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.635
0	0.2	3.534	2.642	2.643	2.637	2.637	2.637	2.637	2.639	2.637	2.643
0	0.5	3.558	2.674	2.676	2.670	2.669	2.669	2.669	2.671	2.670	2.677
0	0.8	3.599	2.728	2.732	2.723	2.723	2.724	2.724	2.726	2.725	2.732
0.2	0	3.522	2.634	2.634	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.635
0.2	0.2	3.530	2.643	2.643	2.638	2.638	2.637	2.637	2.638	2.638	2.643
0.2	0.5	3.554	2.675	2.676	2.671	2.671	2.669	2.669	2.672	2.670	2.677
0.2	0.8	3.595	2.730	2.732	2.725	2.725	2.724	2.724	2.727	2.725	2.732
0.5	0	3.526	2.635	2.635	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.629	2.630	2.634
0.5	0.2	3.533	2.644	2.643	2.639	2.639	2.638	2.638	2.638	2.638	2.643
0.5	0.5	3.558	2.677	2.676	2.673	2.673	2.670	2.670	2.671	2.670	2.676
0.5	0.8	3.600	2.734	2.732	2.729	2.728	2.724	2.724	2.727	2.725	2.732
0.8	0	3.542	2.635	2.635	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.630	2.629	2.630	2.633
0.8	0.2	3.550	2.645	2.643	2.640	2.640	2.638	2.638	2.637	2.638	2.642
0.8	0.5	3.574	2.680	2.677	2.675	2.675	2.670	2.670	2.671	2.671	2.675
0.8	0.8	3.616	2.738	2.733	2.733	2.732	2.725	2.725	2.727	2.726	2.732

Notes:  $N = 49$ ,  $T = 10$ . 1,000 replications and 1 year forecasting ahead.

Table 6: RMSE and MAE of Spatial Panel Data Predictors: SMA and  $\rho = 0.2$

RMSE											
$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	4.445	3.279	3.279	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.343	3.342	3.280
0	0.2	4.454	3.288	3.288	3.351	3.351	3.351	3.351	3.352	3.351	3.290
0	0.5	4.485	3.324	3.326	3.389	3.389	3.389	3.389	3.390	3.389	3.328
0	0.8	4.537	3.387	3.392	3.453	3.453	3.455	3.455	3.455	3.455	3.392
0.2	0	4.439	3.279	3.279	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.280
0.2	0.2	4.448	3.288	3.288	3.352	3.352	3.351	3.351	3.352	3.351	3.290
0.2	0.5	4.479	3.326	3.326	3.390	3.390	3.389	3.389	3.391	3.389	3.328
0.2	0.8	4.531	3.389	3.392	3.455	3.455	3.455	3.455	3.456	3.455	3.393
0.5	0	4.442	3.279	3.279	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.342	3.341	3.342	3.279
0.5	0.2	4.451	3.290	3.288	3.353	3.352	3.351	3.351	3.352	3.351	3.289
0.5	0.5	4.482	3.329	3.327	3.392	3.392	3.389	3.389	3.391	3.390	3.327
0.5	0.8	4.534	3.394	3.392	3.459	3.458	3.455	3.455	3.457	3.455	3.393
0.8	0	4.460	3.280	3.279	3.343	3.343	3.342	3.342	3.340	3.342	3.277
0.8	0.2	4.469	3.291	3.289	3.354	3.354	3.352	3.352	3.351	3.352	3.287
0.8	0.5	4.500	3.332	3.327	3.395	3.394	3.390	3.390	3.390	3.390	3.327
0.8	0.8	4.551	3.401	3.393	3.464	3.462	3.455	3.455	3.457	3.456	3.392
MAE											
$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	3.564	2.626	2.625	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.675	2.674	2.626
0	0.2	3.571	2.634	2.634	2.682	2.682	2.682	2.682	2.683	2.682	2.635
0	0.5	3.597	2.665	2.667	2.715	2.715	2.715	2.715	2.716	2.715	2.668
0	0.8	3.640	2.718	2.723	2.769	2.769	2.771	2.771	2.771	2.771	2.723
0.2	0	3.560	2.626	2.626	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.626
0.2	0.2	3.567	2.634	2.634	2.683	2.683	2.682	2.682	2.683	2.682	2.635
0.2	0.5	3.593	2.666	2.667	2.716	2.715	2.715	2.715	2.716	2.715	2.668
0.2	0.8	3.636	2.721	2.723	2.771	2.771	2.771	2.771	2.772	2.771	2.723
0.5	0	3.564	2.626	2.626	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.625
0.5	0.2	3.572	2.635	2.634	2.683	2.683	2.682	2.682	2.683	2.683	2.634
0.5	0.5	3.598	2.669	2.667	2.717	2.717	2.715	2.715	2.716	2.716	2.667
0.5	0.8	3.641	2.725	2.724	2.774	2.774	2.771	2.771	2.773	2.771	2.723
0.8	0	3.580	2.626	2.626	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.674	2.673	2.674	2.624
0.8	0.2	3.588	2.636	2.635	2.684	2.684	2.683	2.683	2.682	2.683	2.633
0.8	0.5	3.614	2.672	2.668	2.720	2.719	2.715	2.715	2.716	2.716	2.667
0.8	0.8	3.657	2.730	2.724	2.778	2.777	2.771	2.771	2.773	2.772	2.723

Notes:  $N = 49$ ,  $T = 10$ . 1,000 replications and 1 year forecasting ahead.

Table 7: RMSE and MAE of Spatial Panel Data Predictors: SMA and  $\rho = 0.5$

RMSE											
$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	4.760	3.250	3.250	3.715	3.715	3.715	3.715	3.716	3.715	3.251
0	0.2	4.769	3.259	3.259	3.725	3.725	3.725	3.725	3.726	3.725	3.261
0	0.5	4.805	3.295	3.297	3.765	3.765	3.767	3.767	3.766	3.766	3.298
0	0.8	4.864	3.356	3.363	3.834	3.833	3.839	3.839	3.836	3.837	3.360
0.2	0	4.754	3.250	3.250	3.715	3.715	3.715	3.715	3.716	3.715	3.251
0.2	0.2	4.764	3.260	3.259	3.726	3.725	3.725	3.725	3.726	3.725	3.261
0.2	0.5	4.799	3.296	3.297	3.766	3.766	3.767	3.767	3.767	3.766	3.299
0.2	0.8	4.858	3.359	3.363	3.835	3.834	3.839	3.839	3.837	3.837	3.362
0.5	0	4.758	3.250	3.250	3.716	3.716	3.716	3.716	3.715	3.716	3.249
0.5	0.2	4.768	3.261	3.260	3.726	3.726	3.725	3.725	3.725	3.725	3.260
0.5	0.5	4.803	3.300	3.298	3.768	3.767	3.766	3.766	3.767	3.766	3.299
0.5	0.8	4.862	3.364	3.363	3.838	3.837	3.838	3.838	3.838	3.837	3.363
0.8	0	4.776	3.251	3.251	3.716	3.716	3.716	3.716	3.713	3.716	3.248
0.8	0.2	4.785	3.263	3.260	3.727	3.727	3.725	3.725	3.724	3.726	3.259
0.8	0.5	4.820	3.304	3.299	3.770	3.769	3.766	3.766	3.767	3.767	3.298
0.8	0.8	4.878	3.370	3.364	3.842	3.841	3.838	3.838	3.839	3.838	3.363
MAE											
$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	3.818	2.603	2.603	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.604
0	0.2	3.826	2.611	2.611	2.983	2.983	2.983	2.983	2.983	2.983	2.613
0	0.5	3.856	2.641	2.643	3.016	3.015	3.017	3.017	3.017	3.017	2.644
0	0.8	3.906	2.694	2.699	3.074	3.073	3.078	3.078	3.075	3.077	2.697
0.2	0	3.815	2.603	2.603	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.604
0.2	0.2	3.823	2.611	2.611	2.983	2.983	2.983	2.983	2.983	2.983	2.613
0.2	0.5	3.853	2.643	2.644	3.016	3.016	3.017	3.017	3.017	3.017	2.645
0.2	0.8	3.903	2.696	2.700	3.075	3.075	3.078	3.078	3.077	3.077	2.699
0.5	0	3.819	2.603	2.603	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.974	2.975	2.602
0.5	0.2	3.827	2.613	2.612	2.983	2.983	2.983	2.983	2.983	2.983	2.612
0.5	0.5	3.857	2.645	2.644	3.018	3.018	3.017	3.017	3.017	3.017	2.645
0.5	0.8	3.908	2.700	2.700	3.078	3.077	3.078	3.078	3.078	3.077	2.700
0.8	0	3.835	2.604	2.604	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.975	2.973	2.975	2.601
0.8	0.2	3.843	2.614	2.612	2.984	2.984	2.983	2.983	2.982	2.983	2.610
0.8	0.5	3.873	2.649	2.645	3.020	3.019	3.017	3.017	3.017	3.018	2.644
0.8	0.8	3.923	2.706	2.701	3.081	3.080	3.078	3.078	3.078	3.078	2.699

Notes:  $N = 49$ ,  $T = 10$ . 1,000 replications and 1 year forecasting ahead.

Table 8: RMSE and MAE of Spatial Panel Data Predictors: SMA and  $\rho = 0.8$

RMSE											
$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	6.056	3.190	3.190	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	3.191
0	0.2	6.063	3.199	3.199	4.738	4.738	4.739	4.739	4.739	4.739	3.200
0	0.5	6.104	3.235	3.237	4.784	4.783	4.788	4.788	4.786	4.786	3.236
0	0.8	6.182	3.297	3.301	4.865	4.864	4.875	4.875	4.870	4.871	3.297
0.2	0	6.052	3.190	3.190	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	3.191
0.2	0.2	6.059	3.199	3.199	4.738	4.738	4.739	4.739	4.739	4.739	3.200
0.2	0.5	6.100	3.236	3.237	4.784	4.783	4.787	4.787	4.786	4.786	3.236
0.2	0.8	6.178	3.298	3.301	4.865	4.864	4.874	4.874	4.871	4.871	3.298
0.5	0	6.055	3.190	3.190	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	4.729	3.190
0.5	0.2	6.062	3.200	3.200	4.739	4.738	4.739	4.739	4.739	4.739	3.200
0.5	0.5	6.103	3.237	3.237	4.785	4.784	4.787	4.787	4.786	4.786	3.237
0.5	0.8	6.181	3.299	3.302	4.867	4.866	4.874	4.874	4.872	4.871	3.299
0.8	0	6.069	3.191	3.191	4.729	4.729	4.730	4.730	4.728	4.730	3.190
0.8	0.2	6.076	3.201	3.200	4.739	4.739	4.739	4.739	4.739	4.739	3.200
0.8	0.5	6.117	3.238	3.238	4.786	4.785	4.787	4.787	4.787	4.786	3.238
0.8	0.8	6.195	3.301	3.302	4.868	4.867	4.873	4.873	4.872	4.871	3.300
MAE											
$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General
0	0	4.864	2.555	2.556	3.787	3.787	3.788	3.788	3.787	3.788	2.556
0	0.2	4.870	2.563	2.564	3.794	3.794	3.795	3.795	3.795	3.795	2.564
0	0.5	4.904	2.594	2.596	3.831	3.831	3.835	3.835	3.833	3.833	2.594
0	0.8	4.969	2.647	2.650	3.898	3.898	3.906	3.906	3.902	3.904	2.647
0.2	0	4.861	2.556	2.556	3.787	3.787	3.788	3.788	3.787	3.787	2.556
0.2	0.2	4.867	2.564	2.564	3.794	3.794	3.795	3.795	3.795	3.795	2.565
0.2	0.5	4.901	2.594	2.596	3.831	3.831	3.834	3.834	3.833	3.833	2.595
0.2	0.8	4.966	2.647	2.650	3.898	3.898	3.906	3.906	3.903	3.904	2.647
0.5	0	4.865	2.556	2.556	3.787	3.787	3.788	3.788	3.787	3.788	2.556
0.5	0.2	4.871	2.564	2.564	3.795	3.794	3.795	3.795	3.795	3.795	2.564
0.5	0.5	4.905	2.596	2.596	3.832	3.831	3.834	3.834	3.834	3.833	2.595
0.5	0.8	4.970	2.649	2.651	3.899	3.899	3.905	3.905	3.904	3.904	2.648
0.8	0	4.877	2.556	2.557	3.787	3.787	3.788	3.788	3.787	3.788	2.556
0.8	0.2	4.883	2.566	2.565	3.795	3.795	3.795	3.795	3.795	3.795	2.564
0.8	0.5	4.918	2.597	2.597	3.833	3.832	3.834	3.834	3.834	3.834	2.596
0.8	0.8	4.982	2.650	2.652	3.901	3.900	3.905	3.905	3.904	3.904	2.650

Notes:  $N = 49$ ,  $T = 10$ . 1,000 replications and 1 year forecasting ahead.

Table 9: RMSE and MAE of Spatial Panel Data Predictors: SARMA and  $\rho = 0$

RMSE														
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	4.464	3.363	3.361	3.357	3.356	3.354	3.354	3.356	3.354	3.362	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	4.535	3.458	3.457	3.452	3.451	3.447	3.447	3.450	3.448	3.457	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	4.495	3.366	3.362	3.360	3.359	3.355	3.354	3.355	3.355	3.361	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	4.565	3.464	3.457	3.458	3.456	3.447	3.447	3.450	3.448	3.456	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	4.695	3.674	3.674	3.667	3.664	3.660	3.660	3.665	3.662	3.673	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.5	4.892	3.927	3.934	3.920	3.913	3.913	3.913	3.918	3.915	3.928	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.2	4.724	3.683	3.675	3.676	3.671	3.661	3.661	3.665	3.663	3.673	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	4.920	3.942	3.935	3.935	3.920	3.914	3.913	3.919	3.916	3.928	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	4.592	3.368	3.363	3.363	3.362	3.356	3.356	3.355	3.356	3.361	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.5	4.661	3.470	3.459	3.464	3.460	3.449	3.448	3.450	3.450	3.457	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	4.703	3.372	3.364	3.367	3.365	3.357	3.357	3.355	3.357	3.361	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.5	4.770	3.479	3.460	3.473	3.467	3.450	3.450	3.450	3.451	3.456	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	4.817	3.693	3.676	3.686	3.677	3.663	3.662	3.666	3.664	3.673	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	5.009	3.959	3.936	3.951	3.926	3.915	3.915	3.921	3.918	3.929	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	4.922	3.709	3.678	3.702	3.685	3.664	3.664	3.665	3.666	3.673	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	5.110	3.988	3.938	3.980	3.936	3.917	3.916	3.921	3.919	3.929	
MAE														
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	3.584	2.695	2.695	2.691	2.691	2.688	2.688	2.690	2.689	2.695	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	3.643	2.776	2.775	2.771	2.770	2.767	2.767	2.770	2.768	2.775	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	3.611	2.698	2.695	2.693	2.693	2.689	2.689	2.689	2.689	2.694	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	3.670	2.781	2.776	2.776	2.775	2.768	2.767	2.770	2.769	2.775	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	3.775	2.955	2.956	2.950	2.947	2.945	2.944	2.948	2.946	2.955	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.5	3.940	3.173	3.176	3.167	3.160	3.160	3.160	3.165	3.162	3.173	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.2	3.802	2.963	2.956	2.958	2.953	2.945	2.945	2.948	2.947	2.954	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	3.967	3.186	3.178	3.180	3.167	3.161	3.160	3.166	3.163	3.172	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	3.693	2.700	2.696	2.696	2.695	2.690	2.690	2.689	2.690	2.694	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.5	3.751	2.786	2.777	2.781	2.778	2.769	2.768	2.770	2.770	2.775	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	3.788	2.704	2.697	2.699	2.698	2.691	2.691	2.689	2.691	2.694	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.5	3.844	2.794	2.778	2.789	2.784	2.770	2.770	2.769	2.771	2.775	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	3.880	2.972	2.958	2.966	2.958	2.946	2.946	2.949	2.948	2.955	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	4.043	3.201	3.179	3.195	3.172	3.162	3.161	3.167	3.164	3.173	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	3.971	2.986	2.959	2.980	2.966	2.948	2.948	2.949	2.949	2.954	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	4.131	3.227	3.181	3.221	3.181	3.163	3.163	3.167	3.166	3.173	

Notes:  $N = 49$ ,  $T = 10$ . 1,000 replications and 1 year forecasting ahead.

Table 10: RMSE and MAE of Spatial Panel Data Predictors: SARMA and  $\rho = 0.2$

RMSE														
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	4.515	3.352	3.350	3.416	3.415	3.413	3.413	3.415	3.414	3.351	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	4.589	3.447	3.445	3.513	3.511	3.509	3.509	3.511	3.509	3.446	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	4.546	3.355	3.351	3.419	3.418	3.414	3.414	3.414	3.414	3.350	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	4.619	3.454	3.446	3.518	3.516	3.510	3.509	3.511	3.510	3.445	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	4.754	3.661	3.662	3.731	3.729	3.727	3.727	3.730	3.727	3.661	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.5	4.959	3.912	3.921	3.988	3.983	3.986	3.986	3.987	3.986	3.913	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.2	4.783	3.671	3.663	3.740	3.735	3.728	3.728	3.731	3.728	3.661	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	4.986	3.926	3.922	4.002	3.989	3.986	3.986	3.989	3.987	3.915	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	4.642	3.359	3.352	3.421	3.421	3.415	3.415	3.415	3.416	3.351	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.5	4.714	3.461	3.448	3.524	3.521	3.511	3.511	3.512	3.512	3.446	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	4.752	3.365	3.354	3.425	3.424	3.417	3.417	3.414	3.417	3.350	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.5	4.822	3.474	3.449	3.532	3.527	3.512	3.512	3.512	3.513	3.445	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	4.875	3.683	3.665	3.749	3.740	3.729	3.729	3.732	3.730	3.662	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	5.074	3.944	3.924	4.017	3.995	3.988	3.987	3.992	3.989	3.917	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	4.979	3.703	3.667	3.763	3.748	3.731	3.731	3.732	3.732	3.661	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	5.174	3.975	3.926	4.043	4.004	3.989	3.989	3.992	3.991	3.917	
MAE														
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	3.624	2.687	2.686	2.736	2.736	2.734	2.734	2.735	2.734	2.686	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	3.685	2.767	2.766	2.817	2.816	2.814	2.814	2.816	2.815	2.766	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	3.651	2.690	2.686	2.738	2.738	2.734	2.734	2.735	2.735	2.685	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	3.712	2.773	2.767	2.822	2.820	2.815	2.815	2.816	2.815	2.766	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	3.821	2.945	2.946	2.999	2.996	2.995	2.995	2.997	2.996	2.945	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.5	3.993	3.160	3.166	3.220	3.214	3.216	3.216	3.218	3.216	3.160	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.2	3.848	2.954	2.947	3.006	3.002	2.995	2.995	2.998	2.996	2.945	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	4.020	3.173	3.167	3.232	3.220	3.216	3.216	3.220	3.217	3.161	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	3.731	2.693	2.688	2.741	2.740	2.736	2.735	2.735	2.736	2.686	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.5	3.791	2.780	2.769	2.827	2.824	2.816	2.816	2.817	2.817	2.766	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	3.826	2.698	2.689	2.744	2.743	2.737	2.737	2.735	2.738	2.685	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.5	3.884	2.790	2.770	2.834	2.830	2.817	2.817	2.817	2.818	2.766	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	3.925	2.964	2.948	3.014	3.006	2.997	2.997	2.999	2.998	2.945	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	4.094	3.189	3.169	3.245	3.225	3.218	3.217	3.221	3.219	3.163	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	4.015	2.982	2.950	3.026	3.014	2.998	2.998	2.999	2.999	2.945	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	4.180	3.216	3.171	3.268	3.234	3.219	3.219	3.222	3.221	3.163	

Notes:  $N = 49$ ,  $T = 10$ . 1,000 replications and 1 year forecasting ahead.

Table 11: RMSE and MAE of Spatial Panel Data Predictors: SARMA and  $\rho = 0.5$

RMSE														
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	4.837	3.323	3.321	3.794	3.794	3.793	3.793	3.794	3.793	3.323	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	4.922	3.416	3.416	3.898	3.897	3.898	3.898	3.898	3.897	3.416	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	4.867	3.327	3.322	3.797	3.796	3.793	3.793	3.794	3.794	3.321	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	4.951	3.423	3.417	3.902	3.901	3.898	3.898	3.899	3.898	3.416	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	5.115	3.626	3.631	4.136	4.134	4.139	4.138	4.137	4.136	3.627	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.5	5.351	3.873	3.890	4.414	4.411	4.424	4.423	4.419	4.418	3.874	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.2	5.143	3.635	3.633	4.143	4.139	4.139	4.138	4.139	4.137	3.629	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	5.377	3.884	3.891	4.425	4.417	4.423	4.422	4.422	4.419	3.879	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	4.958	3.333	3.324	3.800	3.799	3.795	3.795	3.795	3.796	3.322	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.5	5.041	3.432	3.419	3.908	3.905	3.900	3.900	3.900	3.900	3.417	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	5.062	3.343	3.326	3.805	3.803	3.797	3.796	3.794	3.798	3.321	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.5	5.143	3.448	3.422	3.916	3.912	3.901	3.901	3.901	3.902	3.416	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	5.229	3.646	3.635	4.151	4.144	4.140	4.140	4.141	4.139	3.631	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	5.460	3.896	3.894	4.439	4.423	4.425	4.424	4.425	4.422	3.883	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	5.328	3.666	3.637	4.163	4.152	4.141	4.141	4.142	4.142	3.631	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	5.554	3.919	3.896	4.461	4.432	4.426	4.425	4.427	4.425	3.884	
MAE														
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	3.885	2.663	2.662	3.039	3.039	3.038	3.038	3.038	3.038	2.663	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	3.957	2.742	2.743	3.126	3.125	3.126	3.126	3.126	3.125	2.743	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	3.911	2.668	2.663	3.041	3.040	3.038	3.038	3.038	3.039	2.662	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	3.983	2.749	2.744	3.129	3.128	3.126	3.126	3.126	3.126	2.742	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	4.116	2.917	2.921	3.322	3.321	3.325	3.324	3.323	3.322	2.918	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.5	4.314	3.128	3.141	3.561	3.558	3.567	3.567	3.564	3.563	3.128	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.2	4.141	2.926	2.923	3.329	3.325	3.325	3.324	3.325	3.323	2.919	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	4.339	3.138	3.142	3.570	3.562	3.567	3.566	3.566	3.564	3.132	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	3.987	2.672	2.665	3.044	3.043	3.040	3.040	3.039	3.041	2.663	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.5	4.058	2.756	2.745	3.134	3.132	3.127	3.127	3.128	3.128	2.743	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	4.075	2.681	2.667	3.047	3.046	3.041	3.041	3.039	3.042	2.662	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.5	4.145	2.770	2.747	3.141	3.137	3.128	3.128	3.128	3.130	2.743	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	4.214	2.935	2.925	3.336	3.330	3.326	3.326	3.327	3.325	2.921	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	4.409	3.150	3.144	3.582	3.568	3.568	3.568	3.569	3.566	3.136	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	4.298	2.952	2.927	3.346	3.337	3.327	3.327	3.328	3.328	2.921	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	4.491	3.169	3.147	3.601	3.575	3.569	3.569	3.571	3.568	3.137	

Notes:  $N = 49$ ,  $T = 10$ . 1,000 replications and 1 year forecasting ahead.

Table 12: RMSE and MAE of Spatial Panel Data Predictors: SARMA and  $\rho = 0.8$

RMSE														
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	6.148	3.260	3.260	4.819	4.819	4.821	4.821	4.821	4.820	3.260	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	6.264	3.350	3.353	4.942	4.941	4.949	4.949	4.947	4.946	3.351	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	6.172	3.262	3.261	4.821	4.820	4.821	4.821	4.821	4.821	3.261	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	6.288	3.353	3.354	4.944	4.943	4.949	4.949	4.948	4.947	3.352	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	6.545	3.557	3.564	5.235	5.235	5.249	5.248	5.245	5.244	3.557	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.5	6.877	3.802	3.815	5.576	5.579	5.602	5.600	5.594	5.593	3.802	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.2	6.567	3.560	3.565	5.238	5.237	5.248	5.248	5.246	5.244	3.559	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	6.899	3.805	3.816	5.581	5.582	5.601	5.600	5.596	5.594	3.803	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	6.246	3.265	3.263	4.823	4.823	4.823	4.823	4.823	4.823	3.262	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.5	6.360	3.356	3.357	4.948	4.946	4.950	4.950	4.950	4.949	3.354	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	6.330	3.269	3.265	4.826	4.826	4.824	4.823	4.823	4.825	3.263	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.5	6.443	3.361	3.359	4.952	4.950	4.951	4.950	4.951	4.951	3.357	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	6.637	3.564	3.567	5.243	5.241	5.249	5.249	5.249	5.247	3.562	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	6.965	3.808	3.819	5.588	5.586	5.602	5.601	5.599	5.596	3.806	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	6.716	3.569	3.570	5.249	5.246	5.250	5.249	5.250	5.249	3.565	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	7.041	3.814	3.821	5.598	5.591	5.602	5.601	5.601	5.598	3.810	
MAE														
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	OLS	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7	Case 8	General	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	4.941	2.614	2.614	3.860	3.859	3.862	3.861	3.861	3.861	2.614	
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	5.037	2.690	2.692	3.960	3.960	3.966	3.966	3.964	3.964	2.690	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	4.962	2.615	2.615	3.861	3.860	3.861	3.861	3.862	3.861	2.614	
0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	5.058	2.692	2.693	3.962	3.961	3.966	3.966	3.965	3.965	2.691	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	5.267	2.863	2.868	4.202	4.203	4.213	4.213	4.210	4.209	2.862	
0.2	0.5	0.2	0.5	5.544	3.071	3.081	4.490	4.493	4.510	4.509	4.504	4.504	3.071	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.2	5.287	2.865	2.869	4.205	4.205	4.213	4.212	4.211	4.210	2.864	
0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	5.564	3.074	3.082	4.495	4.495	4.510	4.509	4.506	4.504	3.072	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	5.023	2.618	2.617	3.863	3.862	3.862	3.862	3.863	3.863	2.615	
0.5	0.2	0.2	0.5	5.118	2.695	2.695	3.965	3.964	3.967	3.967	3.967	3.966	2.693	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	5.094	2.622	2.619	3.865	3.864	3.863	3.863	3.863	3.864	2.616	
0.5	0.2	0.5	0.5	5.188	2.699	2.697	3.969	3.967	3.967	3.967	3.968	3.968	2.696	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	5.345	2.868	2.871	4.209	4.208	4.214	4.213	4.213	4.212	2.866	
0.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	5.620	3.077	3.085	4.500	4.498	4.511	4.510	4.508	4.506	3.075	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	5.414	2.872	2.873	4.214	4.211	4.214	4.214	4.215	4.213	2.869	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	5.687	3.082	3.087	4.509	4.502	4.511	4.510	4.510	4.508	3.078	

Notes:  $N = 49$ ,  $T = 10$ . 1,000 replications and 1 year forecasting ahead.